



Minutes

MEETING OF THE CONCURRENT EDUCATION STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION COUNCIL

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Sunday, March 27, 2011

Dunning Hall, room 11

Members Present:

Also Present:

Regrets:

Meeting called to order at 5:35 pm.

1. Adoption of the Agenda

- conference logistics adds amendment
- new business – financial report added
- discussion period – size and composition of CESA council
- head teach adds amendment
- BEd Spread editor adds amendment
- agenda passes

2. Adoption of the Minutes of the Meeting of Sunday, March 13, 2011

- minutes pass

3. Business Arising from the Minutes

- none

4. Speaker's Business

- Thank-you to this year's Exec – Anna, Laura and Théa
- perfect attendance award recipients receive recognition
- apologizes for leaving for sports for Con-Ed, Suzie will take over

5. Guest Speakers

- none

6. President's Report

- went to ICE Round Table discussion – worked on ways to introduce students to faculties
- right now, CESA has senator for Con-Ed and ESS – ESS wants their own senator – best compromise is Con-Ed Senator is two-year position who is elected in 4th year and continues on to become ESS senator in 5th year
- went to ACGM, AGM and another AMS meeting – Paul will give an update
- Thank you so much everyone for a great year!

7. Vice President's Report

- Vice President (Internal)
 - went to ASUS AGM – ASUS has been charging student fee for unknown

purpose – passed that this year that fee wouldn't be charged – money is possibly being used for move-in sale, jacket sewing week, add utilities to Red Room, bring back film reels to ASUS movie theatre, implement ASUS alpine tower on West Campus

- Vice President (External)
 - no meetings
 - It's been great! I look forward to transitioning with Katie!

8. AMS Representatives' Report

- ACGM – some services losing money but not as much as last year, elected new board members
- AGM – motion on how AMS Assembly could be hijacked – trying to show that if you brought enough friends to AGM you could pass pretty much anything
- AMS Assembly – policy changes – changed policy so that you could not hijack AMS Assembly

9. Senator's Report

- motion to suspend Principal's welcome for 2011 year – believe many good things accomplished from event – plan to bring back event for 2012 year (issues: getting everyone together in one place, weather, can people hear, budget issues) – idea is to cancel event to plan it better for the future, but Student Senate doesn't see why this is necessary
- percent averages on 2011 graduating transcripts (pertains mostly to engineering and commerce) – no averages or GPA to be on transcript
- Academic Planning Task Force still planning until April 28 – go on website to make comments
- upcoming Town Hall meetings – March 29, April 11

10. Statements by Members

- Academic Affairs Commissioner
 - last Academics Meeting last Tuesday – talked about academic survey from first semester, results on CESA website and in office, will be important in future because they will eventually be reviewing Con-Ed program
 - transitioning with new Academic Affairs Commissioners – encourage the rest of Council to transition with their counterparts
 - Psyc 100 study session – information will be sent out as soon as possible, very successful last term, upper years can also come
- Incoming Vice President Internal
 - Council cheat sheet for new members – any questions, feel free to ask
 - internal reviews handed back at end of meeting
- Athletic Sticks
 - put together wheelchair basketball last weekend, came in 3rd place
 - hockey, soccer, water polo, dodgeball, curling going well
- Workshops
 - Project Wild was a big success – thank you to everyone!
 - last workshop is tomorrow at 5:30 in Stirling B (\$2) on Mental Health Issues
 - pick up certificates in Con-Ed office
- Fourth Year Representatives
 - advertise 50/50 draw for ThankQ gift – tickets on sale in office, will be in Queen's Centre selling tickets this week
 - good luck to everyone for the rest of the semester and to the incoming Council
- Community Affairs Commissioners
 - took a group of students to help with Kingston Unplugged last night – impressed with our efficiency
 - Thank you for all your support this year

- Second Year Representative
 - AMS Equity Caucus holding film screening (Sex and the City) Wednesday at 6:30 – form of equity training, watch parts of movie and have discussion
- Outgoing Vice President External
 - continue coming to office hours for next two weeks – new Council members can look up their outgoing Council member’s office hour and visit them
- BEd Spread Editor/Tri Colour Committee
 - 12 people nominated this year, interviews taking place soon, incoming council: encourage you to run next year
- Webmaster
 - going over website over the next couple weeks – don’t panic because I will be moving things around
- Executive Administrator
 - in the process of sending new Council their transition manuals – should receive them by tomorrow morning

11. Question Period

- J. Patterson to A. Pfeiffer: How does the change to the Senator’s position affect this year’s Senator?
 - A. Pfeiffer: won’t affect Senator this year, could potentially affect who can run next year, possibly opening voting to ESS
- J. Parker to L. Sullivan: What is the Red Room?
 - L. Sullivan: room in Kingston Hall – ASUS Exec had stone fire place put in, study/hang-out lounge, might put in a stage, everything can be removed, can access it at night
- T. Kwong: The issue is if Senator in 5th year isn’t doing Prac in Kingston, they are missing half of the meetings.
 - A. Pfeiffer: that was brought up, but they didn’t want us to have a Senator at all, they feel they are underrepresented, issue is people aren’t notified of

meetings, we need our interests to be looked after, rumours that Senate will be restructured within the next two years

- P. Stillman to L. Sullivan: With the Subway cart don't you feel like you're dating yourself?
 - L. Hughes: point of information – How is this relevant?
- J. Patterson: Are you saying there would be a Senator elected every other year?
 - A. Pfeiffer: Yes. We will only have Senator elections every other year – just an idea, hasn't gone through yet.
- L. Skellet to T. Kwong: What is the composition of the Senate right now?
 - T. Kwong: I can't tell you on the spot – I can find out for you. Changing Senate came up last year – hasn't been brought up at Senate.
 - A. Pfeiffer: hasn't been brought up at meetings, but people are discussing to bring it to Senate
 - T. Kwong: students only make up less than 20% of Senate – if ESS is so adamant about this, they should make an effort to make things work
 - A. Pfeiffer: Tiff and I both emailed, but I know Tiff wasn't involved as much. I see where they're coming from. We'll see what happens in the future.

12. New Business

Financial Report – still paying for things, close on income, off on outcome, we are not over by \$6000, we actually took in more money than we thought, without having paying for things we are \$8000 under budget – we don't keep the money because we are non-profit, but we can donate the money when we come in under budget

Job Policy Amendments:

- Appendix Moon Landing
 - K. Howald: change initiative/month to initiative per month
 - A. Love: Is there a football game the first Saturday of classes? People like to go to breakfast then game.
 - P. Lloyd: You want it to go on Homecoming weekend?

- A. Love: No, instead just have it on the first weekend of a football game.
 - J. Parker: Leave it open to any weekend in September.
 - L. Skellet: point of information – first football game was the day of the Buddy Picnic
 - I. Bei: want to make it less strict for Community Affairs Commissioners next year
 - A. Love: Can we change it to any weekend in September?
 - P. Lloyd: Point is to have it so people can meet each other – it could vary from year to year, that’s fine.
 - J. Patterson: the last two years, it hasn’t been on the weekend of Homecoming – could say before the third week of classes (more flexibility, as opposed to a set date)
 - T. Pel: You could encourage it in your transition manual to have it closer to the beginning of September.
 - P. Lloyd: amendment to have the Breakfast which shall be held on a weekend before the end of September
 - L. Skellet: we need to vote on an amendment, I think.
 - P. Stillman: point of information – if someone is amending, the person who made the motion must deem it friendly or not friendly
 - P. Lloyd: I deem it friendly.
 - S. Ingram: we are omnibussing these motions
 - J. Patterson: point of information – this is not an omnibus, because we are voting to move all of these together
 - P. Stillman: point of information – explains definition of omnibus
 - **passes unanimously**
- J. Patterson: moves to omnibus Dinosaur and Rocket Ship
 - seconded by D. Jones
 - **passes unanimously**
- Appendix Dinosaur omnibussed with Appendix Rocket Ship
 - H. Jepson: point of personal privilege – I have to leave, but my
 - P. Stillman: agree with spirit of motion, but even though Homecoming is gone, should we really remove this? – think we should still have representation down the road
 - A. Love: I completely agree
 - L. O’Brien: external affairs commissioners, president, and possibly senator were invited to dinner – taking this out doesn’t mean president doesn’t get to go
 - T. Pel: external would be required to be a part of ThankQ committee, not run the committee – propose to keep it in
 - A. Love: I deem that a friendly amendment

- J. Patterson: I don't necessarily agree that Positive Space should be in the job policy manual, as it's a controversial issue. Maybe we could change it to anti-oppression and other related training.
 - A. Love: We could change it to...
 - J. Patterson: amendment saying other related training for Council
 - A. Love: friendly amendment
- N. Tsui: I go to Equity Caucus meetings now. Two or three years ago there was an issue on Facebook. At the time, there was no one to hold him accountable. Equity Caucus must implement equity into every society. I second this motion because I wanted to make it an equity-focused position.
- V. Powell-Cavell: Great point Natalie made on equity. When I had this position, I went to the dinner and it was nice. Hiring has already been done for external affairs, but I do think the equity focus is good.
- L. Hughes to J. Patterson: Why is Positive Space deemed controversial?
 - J. Patterson: It wasn't the training, but I know we voted on putting the sticker on the door.
 - L. Hughes: The training is different from putting the sticker on the door. I think it's important to keep up with the training all year.
 - A. Love: What type of training is mandatory?
 - T. Pel: anti-oppression
 - L. Hughes: Can we move to put Positive Space back in?
 - S. Ingram: You can draft something and we can reopen the agenda.
- L. O'Brien: Important to have people doing external affairs and equity. Should it be the same person?
 - J. Patterson: if this is about equity, what do bursaries have to do with equity?
 - V. Powell-Cavell: Bursaries keep it an equity position because it keeps everyone the same.
 - J. Patterson: I don't know
- L. Skellet: I agree we don't want it to be a tokenized position, but maybe have another position for equity instead of clumping it all together.
 - A. Love: It would be great to have another position, but there are thoughts that CESA Council is too big and can't handle another position. I want someone to know that they will be doing work for equity, not just a vote for someone to be on Equity Caucus.
 - N. Tsui: I agree it should be a person who goes into this knowing it will be about equity.
- P. Lloyd: If it's not a position on Council, what position did Natalie hold?
 - T. Pel: we wanted someone represented from our council, we gave them a temporary position without a vote that only applied for that year – they want to make it permanent
 - P. Stillman: Anna's position came in half way through the year – this equity position is still new

- N. Tsui: position for me started in December, but next year it will start in September. I felt by not having a voting right, it was hard to represent CESA for this.
 - P. Stillman: position was added onto Natalie's job as second year representative – she agreed to add it to her own ambitions
 - P. Lloyd: if something were not to implemented, it would just be tacked on next year?
 - T. Pel: yes
- M. Sit: propose amendment to have it say representatives
 - T. Pel: can it say representative(s)?
 - A. Love: okay
- L. MacPherson: when you were hiring, people didn't know what they were getting themselves into. I agree an equity position is very important, but maybe it shouldn't all be redone now.
 - A. Love: there was an asterisk that the job description could change and we informed the applicants – they were hired with this in mind
- N. Tsui: want to agree with what Lynn said before, because the President represents us, is there somewhere else we could put the Alumni Dinner representative?
 - A. Love: I am open to that
- S. Fortune: I was going to propose a friendly amendment to put Positive Space back into f) – is what were concerned about the fact that they have a diverse number of responsibilities?
 - L. Hughes: bursaries are an equity issue – more focuses on equity than external affairs. I think this is great for now this is a good change to bring in equity.
- J. Patterson: Can we remove Homecoming Weekend and have a member who is interested in going to the dinner?
 - A. Love: That's fine, but it will have to be a separate motion. To speak on Positive Space training, I'm worried about putting it in there if it is not mandatory.
- P. Stillman: I'm sorry about bringing up thing about Alumni dinner – just want Con-Ed students to be represented. About Positive Space, have something in there saying such as Positive Space training. Friendly amendment to move that.
 - A. Love: deem that a friendly amendment
- A. Prabhu: would being on Equity Caucus be a committee for d)? Would we be encouraged to join another committee?
 - A. Love: for current external affairs commissioners, did you do something this year?
 - External Affairs Commissioners: yes
 - A. Prabhu: it seems vague to say join some committee, say join meetings of Equity Caucus. Moves to take d) out of appendix.
 - seconded by H. Jepson
 - A. Love: It does say if possible, so I don't want this to be a friendly amendment.

- L. O'Brien: I support it because I did this position, and don't know what any of those are.
 - P. Stillman: in favour of removing that, if we're moving to change it closer to equity
 - V. Powell-Cavell: take out attend meetings of ThankQ committee – equity issue within ThankQ – to not tokenize this position, we could get rid of that
 - A. Love: originally stricken to begin, so it's a friendly amendment
 - D. Wilson: Did external affairs say they did join some committee?
 - External Affairs: We talked at the beginning of the year and decided not to join a committee.
 - amendment d) is stricken from policy
 - J. Patterson: move to table Dinosaur/Rocket Ship to after all appendices have been discussed
 - seconded by H. Jepson
 - **passes**
 - L. Hughes: want to put in Appendix Equity before Appendix Dinosaur
 - J. Patterson: want to put in Appendix Alumni Dinner after Appendix Equity
- Appendix Star Trek
 - P. Stillman: Holly knows most about this – we should all vote in favour
 - **passes unanimously**
 - Appendix Titans
 - H. Jepson: make an amendment to agenda to add AMS opt-out fees to discussion period
 - L. Skellet: friendly amendment – change to when it is up for review instead of when it is put on ballot...
 - D. Wilson: agree with Holly – fundraisers money goes to all events in Con-Ed – don't need something specific for Conference
 - K. Howald: point of information – I think the formatting is c) i) not c) a)
 - T. Pel: move to close discussion and vote
 - seconded by N. Cornish
 - **passes unanimously**
 - A. Love: propose to omnibus Reece's Pieces with Dinosaur and Rocket Ship
 - **passes**
 - Appendix Hip Thrust
 - T. Pel: move to call vote and close discussion
 - seconded by A. Pfeiffer
 - **passes**
 - **passes unanimously**

- Appendix Laura Skellet
 - A. Pfeiffer: I think it is a great idea. It is the President's job to support their umbrella. It's good because we don't need to add it to the President's job policy because they already must support their umbrella.
 - J. Patterson: Maybe we can change it to say something different other than a few days before.
 - N. Gouveia: We left it ambiguous, so there is no strict deadline.
 - L. Sullivan: An editor's job is to edit. Sending it to someone else is a lot of editing.
 - N. Gouveia: We just want the President to look at it not for grammar, but for content.
 - K. Howald: amendment – 72 hours before?
 - N. Gouveia: friendly amendment
 - E. Houston: Could you not just say before publication, instead of putting a time on it?
 - N. Gouveia: I think we should change it to within 24 hours. That is better for our position.
 - V. Powell-Cavell: I think it is a great idea that doesn't take away from the position of the editor. With the development of equity commissioners, we could eventually have this person look over that.
 - N. Tsui: I think we had talked about the idea of the equity commissioners looking over CESA documents. I think we decided not to do that because equity stuff has to come in slowly. For now, we should keep it as the President looking over it.
 - P. Lloyd: Like Anna said, it is just a quick overview. The time can be worked out between the President and the Editor at the time.
 - J. Patterson: The reason I originally suggested a specific time is so that someone can be called on it.
 - T. Pel: motion to call vote
 - seconded by A. Pfeiffer
 - **passes**
 - **passes**

Appendix Equity

- J. Patterson: amendment – can remove CESA from everywhere, as this is specified in the Constitution
 - L. Hughes: friendly amendment
- J. Patterson: Where is this going?
 - L. Hughes: Not sure. Someone can help me out with that.
 - J. Patterson: It will go in as a Constitution change with the appropriate numbers then?
- A. Pfeiffer: I think it is a great idea to have it officially in policy. We will have to change Constitution, and then this will go in the Job Policy Manual.

- **passes unanimously**

Appendix Alumni Dinner

- T. Pel: I don't know what the wording is, but I think it's there because Homecoming has been changed to May.
- K. Wilson: How do you propose these people be picked?
 - J. Patterson: It kind of just gets brought to Council and people make their statements. There might be more than just those two people, if this is allowed.
- H. Jepson: I want to say add that preference be given to Conference Communications.
 - A. Pfeiffer: amendment – replace Vice President (external) by President, because it is the President who is invited.
 - J. Patterson: I want it to be left as any other non-executive members.
 - L. Sullivan: Why does it need to say non-exec members instead of Council members?
 - J. Parker: Why can't it say members of Con-Ed?
 - J. Patterson: Considering it is for alumni relations and these people report back to Council, it should be a Council member.
 - **passes unanimously**

Appendix Reece's Pieces/Appendix Rocket Ship/Appendix Dinosaur Omnibus

- P. Lloyd: Alex, can you possibly do a recap of what is changing exactly?
- A. Prabhu: Does positive space need to be capitalized?
 - V. Powell-Cavell: It is a program, so it needs to be capitalized.
- **passes unanimously**

Constitutional Amendments:

- Appendix March Madness
 - P. Stillman: agree with removal of Con-Ed directory – for the can drive, where are we donating cans? There should be something in there saying where the cans will go.
 - K. Howald: can be up to the third-year representatives
 - K. Jacobson: point of information – looking at doing a food drive during Frosh Week
 - V. Powell-Cavell: point of information – food drive at Halloween on campus
 - J. Patterson: Is Can Drive supposed to be capitalized? I think we should move it to second semester for sure. I make a friendly amendment to remove before the last day of classes before and change it to charity canned drive.
 - K. Howald: friendly amendment
 - N. Gouveia: I volunteer at the food centre, and I know they would really appreciate the food at this time of year – amendment to make it non-perishable food items.
 - K. Howald: friendly amendment
 - L. Sullivan: I like the idea, but it is possibly a Community Affairs event.
 - P. Stillman: There is a higher use in the holidays, so maybe we should do it first semester?

- V. Powell-Cavell: point of information – Yes, there is higher use, but there is also higher demand.
 - K. Howald: I don't think we need to argue over when to do it. We can do it when the third year representatives think it is best.
 - S. Wolinsky: If we make it a year event, it falls in the realm of year reps and less under Community Affairs.
 - N. Roy: I think if we want to make it a year event, we should donate it to AMS, instead of in the community.
 - P. Lloyd: I have a problem with this being in the third year role. Regardless of if this is a year event, it is still a Community Affairs event. Did you want something to fill the spot of the directory?
 - K. Howald: Our platform was based on being active members in the Queen's and Kingston community. Since it's a year event, it's a great way for everyone to get involved.
 - P. Lloyd: I agree with those points, but you want to find a balance between when and how much you ask people. We have to make sure this is part of the Community Affairs' role as well. If Issy and I did everything we did this year and then this was on top, it would be too much.
 - I. Bei: I'm sorry Phil, but I have to disagree. Charity work shouldn't be on one group.
 - K. Howald: This is something we haven't seen Con-Ed do in a while, so we thought it would be a good idea.
 - V. Powell-Cavell: How are you going to organize it? What areas are you in collecting in? Are you working in conjunction with the AMS? I think it's a good idea. One reason being with the change to SOLUS, people can no longer pay off library fines with food. It could turn into a time for years to gather, as opposed to how much food was collected.
 - T. Pel: move to cap speaker's list and close discussion, and everyone is entitled to their own opinion
 - seconded by L. Sullivan
 - **passes**
 - N. Roy: I think it is possible for year reps and Community Affairs to work together through choosing a charity and promoting event.
 - P. Lloyd: I agree with Nick. Amendment – change it to working in conjunction with Community Affairs. We will have to add that to Community Affairs as well.
 - seconded by L. Sullivan
 - K. Howald: friendly amendment
 - **passes**
 - P. Stillman: motion to extend meeting an hour
 - seconded by L. Sullivan
 - **passes** – meeting will extend to 9:07 until another motion is put forth to extend
- Appendix Neil Armstrong

- A. Pfeiffer: Jen sent this to me before – doesn't overstep any boundaries. It is just making it more clear for people who do this job next. I think we should just pass it, because it's a good idea.
- T. Pel: move to close discussion and call vote
 - seconded by A. Pfeiffer
 - **passes**
- **passes unanimously**

13. Discussion Period

Size and Composition of CESA Council

- R. Shindman: CESA is disproportionately large compared to the size of the faculty. It's a great that people get involved, but they don't necessarily need a vote on council.
- J. Patterson: Rachel is not suggesting anything happen this year. Nothing can. She is just bringing it up at AGM for things that could be changed next year.
- P. Stillman: Constitution changed two years ago, and that is when all the jobs for Conference became part of Council. Looking back now, I don't think there was a need for members of Conference other than Conference Head to be on Council. I don't think we should restructure everything, but it is not necessarily efficient right now.
- N. Tsui: I agree, as 10% is a lot. I wouldn't want to see any of these positions removed, but maybe team positions can only have one vote. A lot of people want to get involved, but maybe student government isn't there thing.
- J. Parker: As outgoing Conference Logistics, I feel that the Conference Chair knew what we needed and wanted.
- J. Moore: As awesome as it is to have all of Conference on Council, but it is not necessary.
- P. Lloyd: My concern in reducing size of Council, is if for example we didn't have Community Affairs Commissioners, there would not be as much interest in events and things we do. If people don't have a position on Council, then we can form committees.
- J. Patterson: I agree with this, but not for any specific position. I am very against the one vote thing because you are still a person with your own opinion. I think that reducing Council increases inclusivity. With less people on Council, people see that there are other ways to get involved with CESA apart from being on Council. It seems like it's become simple to get your vote and you still have to do a lot to not have a vote. I don't think any

position on CESA should be removed completely, but perhaps some restructuring should be done. It was only recently that the Council Clerk got a vote and that's odd for someone who is supposed to remain neutral.

- K. Wilson: I feel like since there is only the Head Teach on Council, they only need the Conference Head on Council.
- A. Pfeiffer: In a lot of societies, only elected positions get a vote. Like a lot of you are saying, all of these positions are important, but whether all of these people need a vote is another matter. If you choose to restructure next year, lots of people can be involved, but don't need to be in this room
- A. Pfeiffer: move to extend by half an hour
 - **passes** – extended until 9:37
- H. Jepson: If we decrease the number of positions on Council, we can increase the number of ways that non-Council members get involved. To increase competition to get on Council would create somewhat of a healthy rivalry.
- P. Stillman: People see CESA Council as being cliquey to get into. One way to do that is to do something with restructuring. Like Anna said, I agree with only elected people having a vote. People being hired might strengthen umbrella relations, and you won't have a room full of forty bodies. Then we have to decide if these people will just come to meetings or vote?
- A. Prabhu: For a small organization, we do have a large percentage of people involved in Council. However, we do a lot of stuff in Con-Ed. If we kept the percentages consistent with other organizations, is each position expected to do more or is Council supposed to do less? I agree with people who have been elected should have a vote. For hired positions, in theory, people could be hired simply because they are friends. Maybe it is worth looking at who is elected and who is hired if we are going to change who gets a vote.
- S. Fortune: I think if we used our umbrellas properly, Social Affairs doesn't even need to be there. People can still do things without being in this room. Can we even do anything at this meeting?
 - T. Pel: At this point, it would be incredibly complicated to do anything at this meeting. If there was a consensus, we could do things tonight. But, I would strongly urge that this be a process.
 - S. Fortune: If we were interested, we could direct it towards our new Exec.

- K. Howald: We will definitely look at what you're saying on your internal reviews.
- P. Lloyd: In talking about inclusivity, we need positions for people to come talk to if they are interested in something (eg. community affairs). Perhaps this makes it less inclusive, but that is just an idea.
 - A. Love: No one is suggesting to completely remove positions. It is just whether or not they sit on Council and have a vote.
- P. Stillman: 0.3% voting members – AMS Assembly
- N. Tsui: I don't think this should all be on the incoming Exec. I think we should all come into Council with these ideas as well. This year, we should bring out the smaller committees behind some Council positions.
- T. Pel: I strongly urge people to take your internal review seriously. Talk to people about it. Continue with this idea. Not because we take away a vote, doesn't mean the position is gone. Everyone can still have an office hour!
- L. Sullivan: moves to cap speaker's list on discussion
- L. O'Brien: I think we also have the highest voter turnout, even though we have 10% voting.
- R. Shindman: I think this could have the effect of getting more people involved. We could broaden our scope of positions and committees. There are probably more people out there for who Council is a barrier to becoming involved. I would really like to see something constructive out of this.
- D. Wilson: I'm confused as to what it means to not remove positions, but to remove someone from Council. I think it sounds a bit derogatory to be removed from Council.

AMS Opt-Out Fee

- H. Jepson: It was great that Conference got an opt-out fee this year. The AMS opt-out fee will bring in just over \$5000 for the next three years. Our goal was to put Conference into a financially stable place. I want to discuss whether it would be beneficial for Conference to leave money for the next Conference Exec.
- J. Patterson: I think that is a great thing, because CESA must bail out Conference if they go under. The more money that can be left as the balance is a good idea because it secures CESA's finances.

- R. Shindman: What is the policy on using money from the AMS that has been gathered from the students?
 - J. Patterson: You could use all of the AMS money, but take the money from the fundraisers and put that in the bank account.
- A. Pfeiffer: I think it just speaks to how well Holly did with her position. It is very hard to get on there and being on there is not a secure thing. At some point, we might not get it. Since Holly got so many grants, it will be much harder to get grants in the following years. I appreciate that, because if they go under, we go under. I think it is great that we are making a safety net for future Conferences.
- P. Stillman: Holly, what is the fee right now?
 - H. Jepson: 75 cents
 - P. Stillman: We don't know exactly how much we are going to get. It also depends on how many people opt-in.
 - H. Jepson: It is not for sure that we'll get \$5000, but that is approximately how much.
 - P. Stillman: I think it is great that you're bringing this to us, but I wasn't sure about the percentages.
- L. Sullivan: moves to close discussion
- V. Powell-Cavell: We had bills that came in up to eight months later. That made the budget tighter as we went along. We made it over the deficit and now have money. I think it is great to save some money for future Conferences. I know some people frowned upon banking students' money, so maybe the money could come from registration fee. I do hope though that the registration fee could be lower.
- N. Tsui: I am in full support of this. Like Jen told me, prices just keep rising. Exec did a great job of making us financially stable and we should do the same.
- T. Pel: I think maybe we should put away a percentage of it, regardless of how much you get.
 - H. Jepson: I think we should put away approximately 35-40% of it for the future year, depending on how much money we get in the current year.

14. Adjournment

- meeting adjourned at 9:34 pm